1	SCOTT N. SCHOOLS (SC 9990) United States Attorney
2	W. DOUGLAS SPRAGUE (CASBN 202121)
3	Acting Chief, Criminal Division
4	JEFFREY R. FINIGAN (CASBN 168285) Assistant United States Attorney
5	
6	450 Golden Gate Avenue San Francisco, California 94102
7	Telephone: (415) 436-7232 Facsimile: (415) 436-7234
8	Email: jeffrey.finigan@usdoj.gov
9	Attorneys for Plaintiff
10	LINUTED OT A TEG DICTRICT COLUDT
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
12	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
13	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
14	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) Criminal No. CR 07-0479 WHA
15	Plaintiff,
16)) STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
17	v.) ORDER EXCLUDING TIME & CONTINUING HEARING
18	DEBRA EDISON,
19	Defendant.
20	
21	
22	The above-captioned matter came before the Court on August 1, 2007, for arraignment on
23	the information. The defendant was represented by Shawn Halbert, Esq., and the government
24	was represented by Jeffrey Finigan, Assistant United States Attorney. The matter was set for
25	initial appearance in district court on August 16, 2007, at 3:30 p.m. before the Hon. William H.
26	Alsup, United States District Court Judge.
27	The Court made a finding that the time from and including August 1, 2007, through and
28	including August 16, 2007, should be excluded under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C.
	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EXCLUDING TIME CR 07-0479 WHA

§ 3161(h)(8)(A), because the ends of justice served by taking such action outweighed the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. The finding was based on the need for the defendant to have reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence, and for continuity of counsel pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(B)(iv).

The parties hereby agree to and request that the case be continued until August 16, 2007, and that the exclusion of time until then be granted. The parties agree and stipulate that the additional time is appropriate and necessary under Title 18, United States Code, § 3161(h)(8)(A), because the ends of justice served by this continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. This time exclusion will allow defense counsel to effectively prepare, taking into account the exercise of due diligence, and will provide for continuity of counsel for the defendant.

DATED:

DATED:

So ordered.

DATED:

August 2, 2007

August 2, 2007

SHAWN HALBERT Counsel for Debra Edison

26

27

28

sistant U.S. Attorney Flidge Jan ATE JUDGE